# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

\_\_\_\_\_

# FORM 10-Q

(Mar ⊠	Mark One)  ☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  For the quarterly period ended July 2, 2011						
		OR					
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF T For the transition period from to	HE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934					
	Commission fi	ile number 1-11406					
		ANT INC.					
	(Exact name of registra	nt as specified in its charter)					
Dela (Stat	ware e or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	52-1762325 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.					
West	Technology Park Drive ford, Massachusetts ress of Principal Executive Offices)	01880 (Zip Code					
	Registrant's telephone number,	including area code: (978) 776-2000					
durii		d to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 twas required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing					
be su		nd posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to					
defir	titions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller report	accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See ting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. n-accelerated filer   Smaller reporting company					
Indic	ate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined	in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$					
Indic	eate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of co	ommon stock, as of the latest practicable date.					
	Class	Outstanding at July 29, 2011					
	Common Stock, \$.01 par value	12,347,321					

# PART 1 – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# <u>Item 1 – Financial Statements</u>

# KADANT INC.

# Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited)

# Assets

(In thousands)	July 2, 2011		January 1, 2011
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43,912	\$	61,805
Restricted cash (Note 2)	2,107		_
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$2,344 and \$2,185	54,469		49,897
Inventories (Note 6)	60,950		41,628
Other current assets	14,350		9,876
Assets of discontinued operation	387		401
Total Current Assets	176,175		163,607
Property, Plant, and Equipment, at Cost	105,889		99,346
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	66,548		62,435
1	39,341		36,911
	 	_	
Other Assets (including \$66 of restricted cash at July 2, 2011; Note 2)	43,364		38,266
Goodwill	109,821		97,988
	 ,		
Total Assets	\$ 368,701	\$	336,772

# Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (continued) (Unaudited)

# Liabilities and Shareholders' Investment

(In thousands, except share amounts)		July 2, 2011		January 1, 2011
Current Liabilities:				
Short-term obligations and current maturities of long-term obligations	\$	500	\$	5,500
Accounts payable	Ψ	27,008	Ψ	23,756
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		13,931		15,739
Customer deposits		28,049		19,269
Other current liabilities		21,377		17,940
Liabilities of discontinued operation		2,397		2,397
Total Current Liabilities		93,262		84,601
Other Long-Term Liabilities		30,042		27,620
Long-Term Obligations (Note 8)		17,000		17,250
Shareholders' Investment:				
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued		_		_
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized;				
14,624,159 shares issued		146		146
Capital in excess of par value		92,108		92,935
Retained earnings		178,226		165,131
Treasury stock at cost, 2,276,838 and 2,369,422 shares		(46,880)		(48,786)
Accumulated other comprehensive items (Note 4)	_	3,636		(3,586)
Total Kadant Shareholders' Investment		227,236		205,840
Noncontrolling interest		1,161		1,461
Total Shareholders' Investment		228,397		207,301
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Investment	\$	368,701	\$	336,772

# Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended			nded
	<u>-</u>	July 2,		July 3,
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		2011		2010
Revenues	\$	82,457	\$	69,136
Costs and Operating Expenses:				
Cost of revenues		44,751		37,968
Selling, general, and administrative expenses		25,821		22,681
Research and development expenses		1,403		1,206
Restructuring costs and other income, net		_		(21)
		71,975		61,834
Operating Income		10,482		7,302
operating income		10,402		7,502
Interest Income		122		32
Interest Expense		(299)		(339)
Income from Continuing Operations Before Provision for Income Taxes		10,305		6,995
Provision for Income Taxes		2,927		1,717
Income from Continuing Operations		7,378		5,278
Loss from Discontinued Operation (net of income tax benefit of \$2 and \$3)		(5)		(5)
Net Income		7,373		5,273
Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest		(69)		(53)
Net Income Attributable to Kadant	\$	7,304	\$	5,220
Amounts Attributable to Kadant:				
Income from Continuing Operations	\$	7,309	\$	5,225
Loss from Discontinued Operation	Ψ	(5)	Ψ	(5)
Net Income Attributable to Kadant	\$	7,304	\$	5,220
Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share from Continuing Operations Attributable to Kadant (Note 5)	\$	.59	\$	.42
Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share Attributable to Kadant (Note 5)	\$	.59	\$	.42
Weighted Average Shares (Note 5):				
Basic		12,321		12,426
Diluted		12,477		12,549
Diluicu		14,4//		12,349

# Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended						
		July 2,		July 3,			
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		2011		2010			
Revenues	\$	154,137	\$	130,257			
Costs and Operating Expenses:							
Cost of revenues		82,338		72,214			
Selling, general, and administrative expenses		50,294		43,805			
Research and development expenses		2,715		2,578			
Restructuring costs and other income, net (Note 10)				(323)			
		135,347		118,274			
Operating Income		18,790		11,983			
opvising into int		10,700		11,505			
Interest Income		221		70			
Interest Expense		(556)		(697)			
Income from Continuing Operations Before Provision for Income Taxes		18,455		11,356			
Provision for Income Taxes (Note 7)		5,200		2,433			
Income from Continuing Operations		13,255		8,923			
Loss from Discontinued Operation (net of income tax benefit of \$5 and \$5)		(9)		(9)			
Net Income		13,246		8,914			
Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest		(151)		(83)			
Net Income Attributable to Kadant	\$	13,095	\$	8,831			
Amounts Attributable to Kadant:							
Income from Continuing Operations	\$	13,104	\$	8,840			
Loss from Discontinued Operation	•	(9)	_	(9)			
Net Income Attributable to Kadant	\$	13,095	\$	8,831			
Earnings per Share from Continuing Operations Attributable to Kadant (Note 5):  Basic	\$	1.07	\$	.71			
Diluted	\$	1.05	\$	.71			
	<u>*</u>	1,00	<u> </u>	.,, -			
Earnings per Share Attributable to Kadant (Note 5):							
Basic	\$	1.07	\$	.71			
Diluted	\$	1.05	\$	.71			
Weighted Average Shares (Note 5):							
Basic		12,294		12,418			
Dasic		12,294		12,418			
Diluted		12,442		12,521			

# Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended		
	<u> </u>	July 2,	July 3,
(In thousands)		2011	2010
Operating Activities:			
Net income attributable to Kadant	\$	13,095 \$	8,831
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest		151	83
Loss from discontinued operation		9	9
Income from continuing operations		13,255	8,923
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization		3,847	3,355
Stock-based compensation expense		1,902	1,285
Provision for losses on accounts receivable		314	446
Gain on the sale of property, plant, and equipment		(18)	(233)
Other items, net		689	494
Changes in current assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		(1.272)	(5.110)
Accounts receivable Unbilled contract costs and fees		(1,373)	(5,118)
Inventories		(1,609) (15,294)	(1,625) (4,797)
Other current assets		(1,360)	123
Accounts payable		1,494	5,667
Other current liabilities		5,809	1,638
Contributions to pension plan		(450)	(1,750)
Net cash provided by continuing operations		7,206	8,408
Net cash provided by discontinued operation		5	5
Net cash provided by operating activities		7,211	8,413
The cash provided by operating activities		7,211	0,415
Investing Activities:			
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired (Note 3)		(15,358)	(2,592)
Purchases of property, plant, and equipment		(3,964)	(1,292)
Dividend paid to minority shareholder		(579)	
Proceeds from sale of property, plant, and equipment		35	677
Other, net		58	_
Net cash used in continuing operations for investing activities		(19,808)	(3,207)
Financing Activities:			
Repayments of short- and long-term obligations		(5,767)	(250)
Change in restricted cash (Note 2)		(2,173)	_
Proceeds from issuance of Company common stock		149	_
Other, net		21	6
Net cash used in continuing operations for financing activities		(7,770)	(244)
Exchange Rate Effect on Cash and Cash Equivalents		2,474	(3,431)
(Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(17,893)	1,531
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		61,805	45,675
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	43,912 \$	47,206
Non-cash Investing Activities:			
Fair value of assets acquired	\$	21,844 \$	_
Cash paid for acquired business		(15,849)	
Liabilities assumed of acquired business	\$	5,995 \$	
Non-cash Financing Activities:			
Issuance of Company common stock	\$	1,855 \$	369
	<u>*</u>	<u>-,σσσ</u> ψ	

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### 1. General

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes presented have been prepared by Kadant Inc. (also referred to in this document as "we," "Kadant," "the Company," or "the Registrant"), are unaudited, and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary for a fair statement of the Company's financial position at July 2, 2011, and its results of operations for the three and six month periods ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010, and cash flows for the six month periods ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet presented as of January 1, 2011, has been derived from the consolidated financial statements that have been audited by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes are presented as permitted by Form 10-Q and do not contain certain information included in the annual consolidated financial statements and related notes of the Company. The condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Certain prior-period amounts within operating activities in the statement of cash flows have been reclassified from other items, net, and are shown separately within operating activities to conform to the current period presentation.

### 2. Restricted Cash

As of July 2, 2011, the Company had \$2,173,000 of restricted cash, including \$2,107,000 in current assets and \$66,000 in other assets. This cash serves as collateral for bank guarantees primarily associated with providing assurance to customers in China that the Company will fulfill certain customer obligations entered into in the normal course of business. The restricted cash has been classified as either a current or long-term asset based on the length of time that the applicable bank guarantee will be outstanding. All of the bank guarantees will expire by September 30, 2012.

### 3. Acquisitions

On May 27, 2011, the Company's Kadant Johnson Europe B.V. subsidiary acquired all the stock of m-clean papertech holding AB (M-Clean), a European-based supplier of paper machine fabric and roll cleaning equipment. The aggregate purchase price for this acquisition was \$15,849,000, which included \$910,000 of cash acquired and \$517,000 of debt assumed. The purchase price is subject to a post-closing adjustment.

The Company's acquisitions have historically been made at prices above the fair value of the acquired assets, resulting in goodwill, due to expectations of synergies from combining the businesses. The Company anticipates several synergies in connection with this acquisition, including the use of the Company's existing distribution channels to expand sales of the acquired business' products.

The acquisition has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the results of M-Clean have been included in the accompanying financial statements from the date of its acquisition. The Company recorded acquisition transaction costs of approximately \$234,000 in the second quarter of 2011 in selling, general, and administrative expenses. Allocation of the purchase price for the acquisition was based on estimates of the fair value of the net assets acquired. The purchase price allocation includes identifiable intangible assets acquired of \$6,633,000, which are being amortized using the straight-line method over a weighted-average period of 7 years. The excess of the acquisition purchase price over the tangible and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill and totaled \$8,571,000, none of which is deductible for tax purposes. The allocation of the acquisition purchase price is subject to adjustment upon finalization of the valuations, and therefore the current measurements of inventory, intangible assets acquired, goodwill, assumed liabilities, and deferred income taxes are subject to change.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# 3. Acquisitions (continued)

Pro forma disclosures of the results of operations are not required, as the acquisition is not considered a material business combination as outlined in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 805, "Business Combinations."

In 2010, the Company's Papermaking Systems segment completed acquisitions of a Canadian-based supplier of pressure screen baskets and a related dewatering equipment product line, as well as a European supplier of fluid-handling systems. The Company made additional purchase price payments totaling \$419,000 in the first six months of 2011 related to these acquisitions.

# 4. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Kadant combines net income, other comprehensive items, and comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest. Other comprehensive items represent certain amounts that are reported as components of shareholders' investment in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet, including foreign currency translation adjustments, deferred gains and losses and unrecognized prior service cost associated with pension and other post-retirement plans, and deferred gains and losses on hedging instruments. The components of comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Kadant are as follows:

	 Three Mor	nths Ende	d	Six Mor	nths Ended
(In thousands)	July 2, 2011		July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net Income	\$ 7,373	\$	5,273	\$ 13,246	\$ 8,914
Other Comprehensive Items:					
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	1,786		(5,813)	7,936	(9,718)
Pension and Other Post-Retirement Liability Adjustments, net (net of income tax of \$47 and \$91 in the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively, and \$66 and \$108 in the three and six months ended July 3, 2010, respectively)	84		124	(793)	204
Deferred Gain (Loss) on Hedging Instruments (net of income tax of \$24 and \$31 in the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively, and \$(24) and \$(179)				` /	
in the three and six months ended July 3, 2010, respectively)	1		(327)	207	(668)
	1,871		(6,016)	7,350	(10,182)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	9,244		(743)	20,596	(1,268)
Comprehensive (Income) Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest	 (106)		58	(279)	106
Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to Kadant	\$ 9,138	\$	(685)	\$ 20,317	\$ (1,162)

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## 5. Earnings per Share

Basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended			nded	
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		July 2, 2011		July 3, 2010		July 2, 2011		July 3, 2010
Amounts Attributable to Kadant:								
Income from Continuing Operations	\$	7,309	\$	5,225	\$	13,104	\$	8,840
Loss from Discontinued Operation		(5)		(5)		(9)		(9)
Net Income	\$	7,304	\$	5,220	\$	13,095	\$	8,831
Basic Weighted Average Shares		12,321		12,426		12,294		12,418
Effect of Stock Options, Restricted Stock Units								
and Employee Stock Purchase Plan		156		123		148		103
Diluted Weighted Average Shares		12,477		12,549		12,442		12,521
Basic Earnings per Share:								
Continuing Operations	\$	.59	\$	.42	\$	1.07	\$	.71
Discontinued Operation		_		_		_		<u> </u>
Net Income	\$	.59	\$	.42	\$	1.07	\$	.71
Diluted Earnings per Share:								
Continuing Operations	\$	.59	\$	.42	\$	1.05	\$	.71
Discontinued Operation		_		_		_		_
Net Income	\$	.59	\$	.42	\$	1.05	\$	.71

Options to purchase approximately 81,600 and 161,200 shares of common stock for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and 53,400 and 118,100 shares of the Company's common stock for the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price for the common stock during the period and the effect of their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

# 6. Inventories

The components of inventories are as follows:

(In thousands)	July 2, 2011	January 1, 2011
Raw Materials and Supplies	\$ 25,507	\$ 16,718
Work in Process	17,375	8,584
Finished Goods	18,068	16,326
	\$ 60,950	\$ 41,628

# 7. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was \$5,200,000 and \$2,433,000 in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and represented 28% and 21% of pre-tax income. The effective tax rate of 28% in the first six months of 2011 was lower than the Company's statutory rate primarily due to the favorable geographic distribution of worldwide earnings and the expected utilization of foreign tax credits that were fully reserved in prior periods. The effective tax rate of 21% in the first six months of 2010 was lower than the Company's statutory rate primarily due to the expected release of valuation allowances associated with the expected utilization in 2010 of various deferred tax assets that had been fully reserved for in prior periods and a favorable geographic distribution of earnings.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### 7. Income Taxes (continued)

The Company has established valuation allowances related to certain domestic and foreign deferred tax assets and tax credits. The valuation allowance as of January 1, 2011 was \$25,884,000, consisting of \$11,375,000 in the U.S. and \$14,509,000 in foreign jurisdictions. Compliance with ASC 740 requires the Company to periodically evaluate the necessity of establishing or adjusting a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets depending on whether it is more likely than not that a related tax benefit will be recognized in future periods. When assessing the need for a valuation allowance in a tax jurisdiction, the Company evaluates the weight of all available evidence to determine whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. As part of this evaluation, the Company considers its cumulative three-year history of earnings before income taxes, taxable income in prior carryback years, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, prudent and feasible tax planning strategies, and expected future results of operations. As of July 2, 2011, the Company was in a three-year cumulative income position in the U.S.; however, due to the uncertainty of profitability in future periods, the Company has maintained its full valuation allowance in the U.S. valuation allowance during 2011. The Company's full valuation allowance in certain foreign jurisdictions was maintained as of July 2, 2011 as a result of the foreign subsidiaries being in a three-year cumulative loss position and the uncertainty of future profitability. Assuming, among other positive and negative factors, that certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries meet their estimates of 2011 forecasted earnings, these foreign jurisdictions would be in a three-year cumulative income position and a portion of the foreign valuation allowance may be released during 2011.

### 8. Long-Term Obligations

Long-term obligations are as follows:

(In thousands)		July 2, 2011		January 1, 2011
Revolving Credit Facility	\$	10.000	S	15,000
Variable Rate Term Loan, due from 2011 to 2016	Ψ	7,500	Ψ	7,750
Total Short- and Long-Term Obligations		17,500		22,750
Less: Short-Term Obligations and Current Maturities		(500)		(5,500)
Long-Term Obligations, less Current Maturities	\$	17,000	\$	17,250

The weighted average interest rate for the Company's long-term obligations was 4.89% as of July 2,2011.

# Revolving Credit Facility

On February 13, 2008, the Company entered into a five-year unsecured revolving credit facility (2008 Credit Agreement) in the aggregate principal amount of up to \$75,000,000. The 2008 Credit Agreement also includes an uncommitted unsecured incremental borrowing facility of up to an additional \$75,000,000. The principal on any borrowings made under the 2008 Credit Agreement is due on February 13, 2013. As of July 2, 2011, the outstanding balance on the 2008 Credit Agreement was \$10,000,000 and the Company had \$64,033,000 of borrowing capacity available under the committed portion of the 2008 Credit Agreement. The amount the Company is able to borrow under the 2008 Credit Agreement is the total borrowing capacity less any outstanding borrowings, letters of credit and multi-currency borrowings issued under the 2008 Credit Agreement.

## Commercial Real Estate Loan

On May 4, 2006, the Company borrowed \$10,000,000 under a promissory note (2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan), which is repayable in quarterly installments of \$125,000 over a ten-year period with the remaining principal balance of \$5,000,000 due upon maturity. As of July 2, 2011, the remaining balance on the 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan was \$7,500,000. The 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan is guaranteed and secured by real estate and related personal property of the Company and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, located in Theodore, Alabama; Auburn, Massachusetts; and Three Rivers, Michigan, pursuant to mortgage and security agreements dated May 4, 2006.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# 9. Warranty Obligations

The Company provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time of sale based on the actual historical occurrence rates and repair costs. The Company typically negotiates the terms regarding warranty coverage and length of warranty depending on the products and applications. While the Company engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, the Company's warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, repair costs, service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure, and supplier warranties on parts delivered to the Company. Should actual product failure rates, repair costs, service delivery costs, or supplier warranties on parts differ from the Company's estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

The changes in the carrying amount of accrued warranty costs included in other current liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet are as follows:

(In thousands)	x Months Ended ly 2, 2011
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 3,778
Provision	1,110
Usage	(952)
Acquired	86
Currency translation	 213
Balance at July 2, 2011	\$ 4,235

See Note 17 for warranty information related to the discontinued operation.

### 10. Restructuring Costs and Other Income, Net

Restructuring costs and other income, net in the first six months of 2010 consisted of restructuring costs of \$181,000 from adjustments related to prior period restructurings, a pre-tax gain of \$285,000 from the sale of real estate in the U.S and a curtailment gain on a pension liability of \$219,000 associated with the reduction of 25 full-time positions in France.

# 2008 Restructuring Plan

The Company recorded total restructuring costs of \$4,515,000 in prior periods associated with its 2008 Restructuring Plan. These restructuring costs included facility-related costs of \$385,000 and severance and associated costs of \$4,130,000 related to the reduction of 329 employees in China, North America, Latin America, and Europe, all in its Papermaking Systems segment. The Company took these actions to adjust its cost structure and streamline its operations in response to the weak economic environment at the time.

A summary of the changes in accrued restructuring costs is as follows:

(In thousands)	Severance Costs
2008 Restructuring Plan	
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 433
Payments	(45)
ency translation	8
Balance at July 2, 2011	\$ 396

The Company expects to pay the remaining accrued restructuring costs from 2011 to 2015.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### 11. Business Segment Information

The Company has combined its operating entities into one reportable operating segment, Papermaking Systems, and a separate product line, Fiber-based Products. In classifying operational entities into a particular segment, the Company aggregated businesses with similar economic characteristics, products and services, production processes, customers, and methods of distribution.

			Six Mont	hs E	nded			
(In thousands)		July 2, 2011		July 3, 2010		July 2, 2011		July 3, 2010
Revenues:								
Papermaking Systems	\$	79,621	\$	66,953	\$	147,155	\$	124,422
Fiber-based Products	·	2,836	•	2,183	•	6,982		5,835
	\$	82,457	\$	69,136	\$	154,137	\$	130,257
Income from Continuing Operations Before Provision for Income Taxes:								
Papermaking Systems	\$	13,073	\$	10,895	\$	23,770	\$	17,199
Corporate and Fiber-based Products (a)		(2,591)		(3,593)		(4,980)		(5,216)
Total Operating Income		10,482		7,302		18,790		11,983
Interest Expense, Net		(177)		(307)		(335)		(627)
	\$	10,305	\$	6,995	\$	18,455	\$	11,356
Capital Expenditures:								
Papermaking Systems	\$	2,746	\$	534	\$	3,910	\$	1,060
Corporate and Fiber-based Products		54		219		54		232
	\$	2,800	\$	753	\$	3,964	\$	1,292

(a) Corporate primarily includes general and administrative expenses.

# 12. Stock-Based Compensation

# $Stock\ Options$

On March 9, 2011, the Company granted stock options to purchase 81,637 shares of the Company's common stock to certain officers of the Company. The stock options will vest in three equal annual installments beginning on March 9, 2012, provided that the executive officer remains employed by the Company on the applicable vesting dates. In addition, in 2010, the Company granted stock options to purchase 140,000 shares of the Company's common stock to certain officers of the Company. The Company is recognizing compensation expense associated with these stock options ratably over the vesting period based on the grant date fair value. Compensation expense of \$173,000 and \$86,000 associated with these stock options was recognized in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$282,000 and \$115,000 in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense related to these stock options totaled approximately \$1,515,000 at July 2, 2011, and will be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.3 years.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

A summary of the Company's stock option activity for the first six months of 2011 is as follows:

	Shares (In thousands)	E	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
Options Outstanding at January 1, 2011	161	\$	14.82	
Granted	82	\$	24.90	
Exercised	(8)	\$	20.01	
Options Outstanding at July 2, 2011	235	\$	18.15	8.6 years

Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Units

On March 10, 2011, the Company granted an aggregate of 25,000 restricted stock units (RSUs) to its non-employee directors with an aggregate fair value of \$613,800, which will vest at a rate of 6,250 shares per quarter on the last day of each quarter in 2011, provided that the recipient is serving as a director on the applicable vesting date.

In the first quarter of 2011, the Company also granted to one of its non-employee directors 10,000 RSUs with the same terms and conditions as the 40,000 RSUs in aggregate granted to its other non-employee directors in prior periods. These RSUs will only vest and compensation expense related to these RSUs will only be recognized upon a change in control as defined in the Company's 2006 equity incentive plan. The 50,000 RSUs will be forfeited if a change in control does not occur before the last day of the first quarter of 2015.

## Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units

On March 9, 2011, the Company granted to certain officers of the Company performance-based RSUs, which represented, in aggregate, the right to receive 56,698 shares (the target RSU amount), subject to adjustment, with a grant date fair value of \$24.90 per share. The RSUs are subject to adjustment based on the achievement of the performance measure selected for the 2011 fiscal year, which is the target adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA), as defined and adjusted in accordance with the RSU agreement, generated from continuing operations. The target RSU amount is adjusted by comparing the actual adjusted EBITDA for the performance period to the target adjusted EBITDA. Actual adjusted EBITDA between 50% and 115% of the target adjusted EBITDA results in an adjustment of 50% to 150% of the target RSU amount. If actual adjusted EBITDA is below 50% of the target adjusted EBITDA for the 2011 fiscal year, all RSUs will be forfeited. In the first six months of 2011, the Company recognized compensation expense based on the probable number of RSUs expected to vest, which was 150% of the target RSU amount. Following the determination of the number of RSUs earned based on the performance measure, the RSUs will be subject to additional time-based vesting, and will vest in three equal annual installments on March 10 of 2012, 2013, and 2014, provided that the officer is employed by the Company on the applicable vesting dates. The Company also granted performance-based RSUs to certain officers of the Company in prior periods.

The performance-based RSU agreements provide for forfeiture in certain events, such as voluntary or involuntary termination of employment, and for acceleration of vesting in certain events, such as death, disability or a change in control of the Company. If the officer dies or is disabled prior to the vesting date, then a ratable portion of the RSUs will vest. If a change in control occurs prior to the end of the performance period, the officer will receive the target RSU amount; otherwise, the officer will receive the number of deliverable RSUs based on the achievement of the performance goal, as stated in the RSU agreements.

Each performance-based RSU represents the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock upon vesting. The Company recognizes compensation expense associated with performance-based RSUs ratably over each vesting tranche based on the grant date fair value. Compensation expense of \$427,000 and \$448,000 associated with performance-based RSUs was recognized in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$694,000 and \$624,000 in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense related to the unvested performance-based RSUs totaled approximately \$2,124,000 at July 2, 2011, and will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

Time-Based Restricted Stock Units

The Company granted 357 time-based RSUs on May 25, 2011 and 3,000 time-based RSUs on May 27, 2011 to certain employees of the Company with a grant date fair value of \$26.98 and \$29.34 per share, respectively. On March 9, 2011, the Company granted 60,988 time-based RSUs to certain employees of the Company with a grant date fair value of \$24.90 per share. The RSUs generally vest in three equal installments on March 10 of 2012, 2013, and 2014. The Company also granted time-based RSUs in prior periods to certain employees of the Company. Each time-based RSU represents the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock upon vesting. The Company is recognizing compensation expense associated with these time-based RSUs ratably over the vesting period based on the grant date fair value. The time-based RSU agreement provides for forfeiture in certain events, such as voluntary or involuntary termination of employment, and for acceleration of vesting in certain events, such as death, disability, or a change in control of the Company. Compensation expense of \$303,000 and \$203,000 associated with these time-based RSUs was recognized in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$550,000 and \$347,000 in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense related to the time-based RSUs totaled approximately \$2,164,000 at July 2, 2011, and will be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years.

A summary of the changes in the Company's unvested RSUs for the first six months of 2011 is as follows:

			Weighted
	Units		rage Grant-
	(In thousands)	Date	Fair Value
Unvested RSUs at January 1, 2011	312	\$	16.77
Granted (based on the target RSU amount)	156	\$	24.87
Vested	(122)	\$	21.64
Forfeited	(9)	\$	8.81
Unvested RSUs at July 2, 2011	337	\$	18.94

# 13. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company sponsors a noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan for the benefit of eligible employees at its Kadant Solutions division and the corporate office. Benefits under the plan are based on years of service and employee compensation. Funds are contributed to a trustee as necessary to provide for current service and for any unfunded projected benefit obligation over a reasonable period. Effective December 31, 2005, this plan was closed to new participants. The Company also has a post-retirement welfare benefits plan for the benefit of eligible employees at its Kadant Solutions division (included in the table below in "Other Benefits"). No future retirees are eligible for this post-retirement welfare benefits plan, and the plans include limits on the employer's contributions.

In March 2011, the Company approved a Restoration Plan (included in the table below in "Other Benefits") for the benefit of certain executive officers who are also participants of the noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan. This plan provides a benefit equal to the benefits lost under the noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan as a consequence of applicable Internal Revenue Service limits on the levels of contributions and benefits.

The Company's Kadant Lamort subsidiary sponsors a defined benefit pension plan (included in the table below in "Other Benefits"). Benefits under this plan are based on years of service and projected employee compensation.

The Company's Kadant Johnson subsidiary also offers a post-retirement welfare benefits plan (included in the table below in "Other Benefits") to its U.S. employees upon attainment of eligible retirement age. This plan will be closed to employees who will not meet its retirement eligibility requirements on January 1, 2012.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# 13. Employee Benefit Plans (continued)

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the pension benefits and other benefits plans are as follows:

	Three Months Ended				Three Months Ended					
(In thousands)		July 2,	, 20	011		July 3, 2010				
		Pension		Other		Pension		Other		
		Benefits	-	Benefits	_	Benefits	_	Benefits		
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income):										
Service cost	\$	209	\$	52	\$	197	\$	19		
Interest cost		324		61		322		55		
Expected return on plan assets		(359)		_		(347)		_		
Recognized net actuarial loss		107		7		110		3		
Amortization of prior service cost (income)		14		6		14		(15)		
Net periodic benefit cost		295		126		296		62		
Curtailment gain		_		<u> </u>				(219)		
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$	295	\$	126	\$	296	\$	(157)		
The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost (in	ıcom	e) are as follow	vs:							
Discount rate		5.25%		5.05%		5.75%		4.88%		
Expected long-term return on plan assets		6.25%		J.0570 —		7.00%		- 4.0070		
Rate of compensation increase	4.00% 3.22%				4.00%		2.00%			
Tate of compensation increase		1.0070	,	3.2270		1.0070		2.0070		
	Six Months Ended				Six Months Ended					
(In thousands)		July 2,	, 20	011		July 3,	201	. 0		
		Pension		Other		Pension Other				
		Benefits	_	Benefits	_	Benefits	_	Benefits		
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income):										
Service cost	\$	436	\$	90	\$	426	\$	51		
Interest cost		651		113		650		110		
Expected return on plan assets		(712)		_		(718)		_		
Recognized net actuarial loss		219		14		217		6		
Amortization of prior service cost (income)		28		(1)		28		(30)		
Net periodic benefit cost		622		216		603		137		
Curtailment gain		_		_		_		(219)		
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$	622	\$	216	\$	603	\$	(82)		
The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost (in	ncom	e) are as follow	vs:							
Discount rate		5.25%		5.02%		5.75%		5.09%		
Expected long-term return on plan assets		6.25%		_		7.00% -				
Rate of compensation increase		4.00%	)	3.08%		4.00%		2.00%		

The Company recognized a curtailment gain of \$219,000 in the three and six month periods ended July 3, 2010 associated with the reduction of 25 full-time positions in France.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### 14. Derivatives

The Company uses derivative instruments primarily to reduce its exposure to changes in currency exchange rates and interest rates. When the Company enters into a derivative contract, the Company makes a determination as to whether the transaction is deemed to be a hedge for accounting purposes. For a contract deemed to be a hedge, the Company formally documents the relationship between the derivative instrument and the risk being hedged. In this documentation, the Company specifically identifies the asset, liability, forecasted transaction, cash flow, or net investment that has been designated as the hedged item, and evaluates whether the derivative instrument is expected to reduce the risks associated with the hedged item. To the extent these criteria are not met, the Company does not use hedge accounting for the derivative. The changes in the fair value of a derivative not deemed to be a hedge are recorded currently in earnings. The Company does not hold or engage in transactions involving derivative instruments for purposes other than risk management.

ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging," requires that all derivatives be recognized on the balance sheet at fair value. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the related gains or losses on these contracts are deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive items. These deferred gains and losses are recognized in the period in which the underlying anticipated transaction occurs. For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, the unrealized gains and losses resulting from the impact of currency exchange rate movements are recognized in earnings in the period in which the exchange rates change and offset the currency gains and losses on the underlying exposures being hedged. The Company performs an evaluation of the effectiveness of the hedge both at inception and on an ongoing basis. The ineffective portion of a hedge, if any, and changes in the fair value of a derivative not deemed to be a hedge, are recorded in the condensed consolidated statement of income.

#### Interest Rate Swaps

The Company entered into interest rate swap agreements in 2008 and 2006 to hedge its exposure to variable-rate debt and has designated these agreements as cash flow hedges. On February 13, 2008, the Company entered into a swap agreement (2008 Swap Agreement) to hedge the exposure to movements in the three-month LIBOR rate on future outstanding debt. The 2008 Swap Agreement has a five-year term and a \$15,000,000 notional value, which decreased to \$10,000,000 on December 31, 2010 and will decrease to \$5,000,000 on December 30, 2011. Under the 2008 Swap Agreement, on a quarterly basis the Company receives a three-month LIBOR rate and pays a fixed rate of interest of 3.265% plus the applicable margin. The Company entered into a swap agreement in 2006 (the 2006 Swap Agreement) to convert a portion of the Company's outstanding debt from a floating to a fixed rate of interest. The swap agreement has the same terms and quarterly payment dates as the corresponding debt, and reduces proportionately in line with the amortization of the debt. Under the 2006 Swap Agreement, the Company receives a three-month LIBOR rate and pays a fixed rate of interest of 5.63%. The fair values for these instruments as of July 2, 2011 are included in other liabilities, with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive items (net of tax) in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. The Company has structured these interest rate swap agreements to be 100% effective and as a result, there is no current impact to earnings resulting from hedge ineffectiveness. Management believes that any credit risk associated with the swap agreements is remote based on the Company's financial position and the creditworthiness of the financial institution issuing the swap agreements.

The counterparty to the swap agreement could demand an early termination of the swap agreement if the Company is in default under the 2008 Credit Agreement, or any agreement that amends or replaces the 2008 Credit Agreement in which the counterparty is a member, and the Company is unable to cure the default. An event of default under the 2008 Credit Agreement includes customary events of default and failure to comply with financial covenants, including a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 3.5 and a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.2. As of July 2, 2011, the Company was in compliance with these covenants. The unrealized loss of \$1,450,000 as of July 2, 2011 represents the estimated amount that the Company would pay to the counterparty in the event of an early termination.

# Forward Currency-Exchange Contracts

The Company uses forward currency-exchange contracts primarily to hedge exposures resulting from fluctuations in currency exchange rates. Such exposures result primarily from portions of the Company's operations and assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currencies of the businesses conducting the operations or holding the assets and liabilities. The Company typically manages its level of exposure to the risk of currency-exchange fluctuations by hedging a portion of its currency exposures anticipated over the ensuing 12-month period, using forward currency-exchange contracts that have maturities of 12 months or less.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### 14. Derivatives (continued)

Forward currency-exchange contracts that hedge forecasted accounts receivable or accounts payable are designated as cash flow hedges. The fair values for these instruments are included in other current assets for unrecognized gains and in other current liabilities for unrecognized losses, with an offset in accumulated other comprehensive items (net of tax). For forward currency-exchange contracts that are designated as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item are recognized currently in earnings. The fair values of forward currency-exchange contracts that are not designated as hedges are recorded currently in earnings. The Company recognized a gain of \$84,000 and a loss of \$21,000 in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and a gain of \$81,000 and a loss of \$30,000 in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, included in selling, general, and administrative expenses associated with forward currency-exchange contracts that were not designated as hedges. Management believes that any credit risk associated with forward currency-exchange contracts is remote based on the Company's financial position and the creditworthiness of the financial institutions issuing the contracts.

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company's derivative instruments designated and not designated as hedging instruments, the notional values of the associated derivative contracts, and the location of these instruments in the condensed consolidated balance sheet:

		July 2, 2011			July 2, 2011 Jan			January	1,2	011
	Balance Sheet		Asset		Notional		Asset		Notional	
(In thousands)	Location	(Li	ability) (a)	F	Amount (b)	(L	iability) (a)		Amount	
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments:										
Derivatives in an Asset Position:										
Forward currency-exchange contracts	Other Current Assets	\$	186	\$	6,589	\$	131	\$	1,794	
Derivatives in a Liability Position:										
Forward currency-exchange contracts	Other Current Liabilities	\$	_	\$	_	\$	(59)	\$	1,056	
Interest rate swap agreements	Other Long-Term Liabilities	\$	(1,450)	\$	17,500	\$	(1,595)	\$	17,750	
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instrument	its:									
Derivatives in an Asset Position:										
Forward currency-exchange contracts	Other Current Assets	\$	6	\$	520	\$	_	\$	_	
Derivatives in a Liability Position:										
Forward currency-exchange contracts	Other Current Liabilities	\$	(4)	\$	520	\$	(48)	\$	1,816	

- (a) See Note 15 for the fair value measurements related to these financial instruments.
- (b) The total notional amount is indicative of the level of the Company's derivative activity during the first six months of 2011.

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# 14. Derivatives (continued)

The following table summarizes the activity in accumulated other comprehensive items (OCI) associated with the Company's derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges as of and for the period ended July 2, 2011:

		Forwa	ard	
Inter	est Rate	Curren	ncy-	
S	wap	Excha	nge	
Agre	ements	Contra	acts	Total
\$	1,290	\$	(50) \$	1,240
	(281)		30	(251)
	136		(93)	43
\$	1,145	\$	(113) \$	1,032
	S	(281) 136	Interest Rate   Swap   Excha   Control	Swap Agreements         Exchange Contracts           \$ 1,290         \$ (50)           (281)         30           136         (93)

(a) Included in interest expense for interest rate swap agreements and in revenues for forward currency-exchange contracts in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of income.

As of July 2, 2011, \$481,000 of the net unrealized loss included in OCI is expected to be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve months.

# 15. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value measurement is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy is established, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value into three broad levels as follows:

- Level 1—Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2—Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3—Unobservable inputs based on the Company's own assumptions.

The following table presents the fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

			Fair Value as	of Ju	ıly 2, 2011		
(In thousands)	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
Assets:							
Money market funds and time deposits	\$ 14,247	\$	_	\$	-	-	\$ 14,247
Forward currency-exchange contracts	\$ _	\$	192	\$	_	-	\$ 192
Liabilities:							
Forward currency-exchange contracts	\$ _	\$	4	\$	-	-	\$ 4
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ _	\$	1,450	\$	-	-	\$ 1,450
· ·							
		F	air Value as of	`Jan	uary 1, 2011		
(In thousands)	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
Assets:							
Money market funds and time deposits	\$ 28,156	\$	_	\$	-	-	\$ 28,156
Forward currency-exchange contracts	\$ _	\$	131	\$	-	-	\$ 131
Liabilities:							
Forward currency-exchange contracts	\$ _	\$	107	\$	-	-	\$ 107
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ _	\$	1,595	\$	-	-	\$ 1,595

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

### 15. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The Company uses the market approach technique to value its financial assets and liabilities, and there were no changes in valuation techniques during the first six months of 2011. The Company's financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value include cash equivalents and derivative instruments used to hedge the Company's foreign currency and interest rate risks. The Company's cash equivalents are comprised of money market funds and time deposits that are highly liquid and easily tradable. These investments are fair valued using inputs observable in active markets. The fair values of the Company's interest rate swap agreements are based on LIBOR yield curves at the reporting date. The fair values of the Company's forward currency-exchange contracts are based on quoted forward foreign exchange rates at the reporting date. The forward currency-exchange contracts and interest rate swap agreements are hedges of either recorded assets or liabilities or anticipated transactions. Changes in values of the underlying hedged assets and liabilities or anticipated transactions are not reflected in the table above.

The carrying value and fair value of the Company's debt obligations are as follows:

		July 2, 2011			January 1, 20			)11	
(In thousands)	Carrying Value			Fair Value		Carrying Value		Fair Value	
Long-term debt obligations		17,000	\$	17,000	\$	17,250	\$	17,250	

The carrying value of long-term debt obligations approximates fair value as the obligations bear variable rates of interest, which adjust quarterly based on prevailing market rates.

# 16. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The new guidance eliminates the current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of stockholders' equity. Instead, the new rule will require an entity to present net income and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. While the new guidance changes the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under current accounting guidance. This new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company will adopt this new rule in fiscal 2012. While the adoption of this new guidance will change the presentation of comprehensive income, it will not have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Fair Value Measurements. In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). ASU No. 2011-04 establishes a number of new requirements for fair value measurements. These include: (1) a prohibition on grouping financial instruments for purposes of determining fair value, except when an entity manages market and credit risks on the basis of the entity's net exposure to the group; (2) an extension of the prohibition against the use of a blockage factor to all fair value measurements (that prohibition currently applies only to financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets); and (3) a requirement that for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements, entities disclose quantitative information about unobservable inputs, a description of the valuation process used and qualitative details about the sensitivity of the measurements. In addition, for items not carried at fair value but for which fair value is disclosed, entities will be required to disclose the level within the fair value hierarchy that applies to the fair value measurement disclosed. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company will adopt this new rule in fiscal 2012. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# 17. Discontinued Operation

In 2005, the Company's Kadant Composites LLC subsidiary (Composites LLC) sold substantially all of its assets to a third party. Under the terms of the asset purchase agreement, Composites LLC retained certain liabilities associated with the operation of the business prior to the sale, including the warranty obligations associated with products manufactured prior to the sale date. Composites LLC retained all of the cash proceeds received from the asset sale and continued to administer and pay warranty claims from the sale proceeds into the third quarter of 2007. On September 30, 2007, Composites LLC announced that it no longer had sufficient funds to honor warranty claims, was unable to pay or process warranty claims, and ceased doing business. All activity related to this business is classified in the results of the discontinued operation in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Through the sale date of October 21, 2005, Composites LLC offered a standard limited warranty to the owner of its decking and roofing products, limited to repair or replacement of the defective product or a refund of the original purchase price. As of July 2, 2011, the accrued warranty costs associated with the composites business were \$2,142,000, which represents the low end of the estimated range of warranty reserve required based on the level of claims received before the subsidiary ceased operations and judgments entered against it in litigation. Composites LLC has calculated that the total potential warranty cost ranges from \$2,142,000 to approximately \$13,100,000. The high end of the range represents the estimated maximum level of warranty claims remaining based on the total sales of the products under warranty. Composites LLC will continue to record adjustments to the accrued warranty costs to reflect the minimum amount of the potential range of loss for products under warranty based on judgments entered against it in litigation, if any.

# Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements that are not statements of historical fact, and may include statements regarding possible or assumed future results of operations. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and are based on the beliefs and assumptions of our management, using information currently available to our management. When we use words such as "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "estimates," "seeks," "should," "likely," "will," "would," "may," "continue," "could," or similar expressions, we are making forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Our future results of operations may differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Many of the important factors that will determine these results and values are beyond our ability to control or predict. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. For a discussion of important factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements, you should read carefully the section captioned "Risk Factors" in Part II, Item 1A, of this Report.

# Overview

# Company Background

We are a leading supplier of equipment used in the global papermaking and paper recycling industries and a manufacturer of granules made from papermaking byproducts. Our continuing operations are comprised of one reportable operating segment: Papermaking Systems, and a separate product line, Fiber-based Products. Through our Papermaking Systems segment, we develop, manufacture, and market a range of equipment and products for the global papermaking, paper recycling, and process industries. We have a large customer base that includes most of the world's major paper manufacturers. We believe our large installed base provides us with a spare parts and consumables business that yields higher margins than our capital equipment business.

On May 27, 2011, our Kadant Johnson Europe B.V. subsidiary acquired all the stock of m-clean papertech holding AB (M-Clean), a European-based supplier of paper machine fabric and roll cleaning equipment. The aggregate purchase price for this acquisition was \$15.8 million, which included \$0.9 million of cash acquired and \$0.5 million of debt assumed, and is subject to a post-closing adjustment. We believe that the acquisition of this business will enhance our Papermaking Systems segment's water management product offerings, strengthen our market position in Europe and China, and offer growth opportunities in North America.

Through our Fiber-based Products business, we manufacture and sell granules derived from pulp fiber for use as carriers for agricultural, home lawn and garden, and professional lawn, turf and ornamental applications, as well as for oil and grease absorption.

# Papermaking Systems Segment

Our Papermaking Systems segment consists of the following product lines: stock-preparation, fluid-handling, doctoring, and water-management.

- Stock-preparation: custom-engineered systems and equipment, as well as standard individual components, for pulping, de-inking, screening, cleaning, and refining recycled and virgin fibers for preparation for entry into the paper machine;
- Fluid-handling: rotary joints, precision unions, steam and condensate systems, components, and controls used primarily in the dryer section of the papermaking process and during the production of corrugated boxboard, metals, plastics, rubber, textiles, chemicals, and food;
- Doctoring: doctoring systems and related consumables that continuously clean rolls to keep paper machines running efficiently; doctor blades made
  of a variety of materials to perform functions including cleaning, creping, web removal, flaking, and the application of coatings; and profiling
  systems that control moisture, web curl, and gloss during paper converting; and
- Water-management: systems and equipment used to continuously clean paper machine fabrics, drain water from pulp mixtures, form the sheet or web, and filter the process water for reuse.

### Overview (continued)

### Fiber-based Products

We produce biodegradable, absorbent granules from papermaking byproducts for use primarily as carriers for agricultural, home lawn and garden, and professional lawn, turf and ornamental applications, as well as for oil and grease absorption.

# Discontinued Operation

In 2005, our Kadant Composites LLC subsidiary (Composites LLC) sold substantially all of its assets to a third party. Under the terms of the asset purchase agreement, Composites LLC retained certain liabilities associated with the operation of the business prior to the sale, including the warranty obligations related to products manufactured prior to the sale date. Composites LLC retained all of the cash proceeds received from the asset sale and continued to administer and pay warranty claims from the sale proceeds into the third quarter of 2007. On September 30, 2007, Composites LLC announced that it no longer had sufficient funds to honor warranty claims, was unable to pay or process warranty claims, and ceased doing business. All activity related to this business is classified in the results of the discontinued operation in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. Composites LLC's inability to pay or process warranty claims has exposed us to greater risks associated with litigation. For more information regarding litigation arising from these claims, see Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors."

# International Sales

During the first six months of 2011 and 2010, approximately 60% and 56%, respectively, of our sales were to customers outside the United States, principally in Europe and China. We generally seek to charge our customers in the same currency in which our operating costs are incurred. However, our financial performance and competitive position can be affected by currency exchange rate fluctuations affecting the relationship between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies. We seek to reduce our exposure to currency fluctuations through the use of forward currency-exchange contracts. We may enter into forward contracts to hedge certain firm purchase and sale commitments denominated in currencies other than our subsidiaries' functional currencies. These contracts hedge transactions principally denominated in U.S. dollars.

# Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our condensed consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that reflect significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that our most critical accounting policies, upon which our financial condition depends and which involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessments, are those described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" under the section captioned "Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in Part I, Item 7, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). There have been no material changes to these critical accounting policies since fiscal year-end 2010 that warrant disclosure.

# Industry and Business Outlook

Our products are primarily sold to the global pulp and paper industry. In North America, mill operating rates remained relatively high in the second quarter and inventories were generally low across most paper grades. Overall, we believe that higher mill operating rates lead to increased demand for our spare parts and consumables products. At the same time, however, many of the North American paper producers are facing increases in input costs, particularly fiber and energy. In many cases, we believe increased input costs will benefit our business since the return on investment of many of our products is based on increasing fiber yield and lowering energy costs. Our revenues in North America in the second quarter of 2011 were flat compared to last year's second quarter and bookings were up 10% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year quarter. Although economic uncertainty is increasing in the U.S., there are a number of projects underway which, if they were finalized and booked as orders in the third quarter, would contribute to a strong booking performance in the third quarter of 2011. In Europe, after several periods of weak demand compared to other regions in which we operate, we experienced growth in both revenues and bookings. Revenues in Europe increased 24% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010, while bookings increased 50%

### Overview (continued)

compared to the same period. Export demand is a key driver for European mills that produce packaging grades, and a downturn in the global economy could have a negative effect on export demand, as could a strengthening of the euro. In China, our revenues increased significantly in the second quarter of 2011 primarily due to large stock-preparation capital orders booked late last year, which were shipped in the second quarter of 2011. Revenues in China increased 85% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year period. However, our bookings, which are more variable than revenues, decreased 16% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year period and decreased 26% from the first quarter of 2011. Bookings in China tend to be more variable compared to other regions in which we operate. Paper companies in China are scheduled to bring online significant capacity additions in the second half of 2011, which could lead to short-term overcapacity in some grades and potentially impact the timing of our orders from paper companies as the additional capacity is absorbed. However, we continue to have several active prospects, although not at the level of the first quarter of 2011 or the fourth quarter of 2010. We continue to increase our manufacturing capacity in China to meet the demand resulting from the strong bookings in the fourth quarter of 2010 and the first quarter of 2011. We are anticipating significant revenues from China in the second half of 2011, although the timing of this revenue is dependent on customer-requested delivery dates and the receipt of progress payments.

We continuously consider initiatives to improve our operating results and are currently concentrating our efforts on the following initiatives: focusing on higher-growth emerging markets, further penetrating existing markets where we see opportunity, growing our market share in low-share regions, increasing our parts and consumables sales, and leveraging our low-cost manufacturing operations in locations such as China and Mexico. We also continue to focus our efforts on managing our operating costs and working capital.

For the third quarter of 2011, we expect to report diluted earnings per share of \$.40 to \$.42 from continuing operations, on revenues of \$80 to \$82 million. We expect the fourth quarter to be our strongest quarter of the year for both revenues and earnings. We are maintaining our diluted earnings per share guidance for full year 2011 of \$2.15 to \$2.25 from continuing operations, on revenues of \$325 to \$335 million, revised from our previous guidance of \$315 to \$325 million.

# **Results of Operations**

# Second Quarter 2011 Compared With Second Quarter 2010

The following table sets forth our unaudited condensed consolidated statement of income expressed as a percentage of total revenues from continuing operations for the second fiscal quarters of 2011 and 2010. The results of operations for the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

	Three Months	Ended
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Revenues	100%	100%
Costs and Operating Expenses:		
Cost of revenues	54	55
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	31	32
Research and development expenses	2	2
Restructuring costs and other income, net		_
	87	89
Operating Income	13	11
Interest Income	<del>-</del>	_
Interest Expense		(1)
Income from Continuing Operations Before Provision for Income Taxes	13	10
Provision for Income Taxes	4	2
Income from Continuing Operations	<u> </u>	8%

# **Results of Operations (continued)**

#### Revenues

Revenues for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010 from our Papermaking Systems segment and Fiber-based Products business are as follows:

	Three Months Ended
~ · · · · · · · ·	July 2, July
(In thousands)	2011 20
Revenues:	
Papermaking Systems	\$79,621 \$ 66,953
Fiber-based Products	2,836 2,183
	\$82,457 \$ 69,136

Papermaking Systems Segment. Revenues increased \$12.7 million, or 19%, to \$79.6 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$66.9 million in the second quarter of 2010, including a \$4.6 million increase from the favorable effects of currency translation. Revenues in most of our product lines increased this quarter, including \$7.3 million, or 29%, from stock-preparation and \$4.4 million, or 22%, from fluid-handling. Revenues for our parts and consumables products in our Papermaking Systems segment increased \$4.1 million, or 11%, as operating rates in the paper industry recovered to more traditional levels. Customers also increased their spending on our capital equipment products, resulting in increased capital revenues of \$8.6 million, or 31%, in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010.

Fiber-based Products. Revenues increased \$0.6 million, or 30%, to \$2.8 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2010 primarily due to increased demand for our biodegradable granular products.

Papermaking Systems Segment by Product Line. The following table presents revenues for our Papermaking Systems segment by product line and the changes in revenues by product line between the second quarters of 2011 and 2010 including and excluding the effect of currency translation. The change in revenues excluding the effect of currency translation represents the change resulting from the conversion of current period revenues in local currency into U.S. dollars at the prior period exchange rates, and then comparing this result to the prior period revenues in U.S. dollars. The presentation of the changes in revenues by product line excluding the effect of currency translation is a non-GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) measure. We believe this non-GAAP measure helps investors gain a better understanding of our underlying operations, consistent with how management measures and forecasts our performance, especially when comparing such results to prior periods. This non-GAAP measure should not be considered superior to or a substitute for the corresponding GAAP measure.

Increase

	Three I	Month	ns Ended July 3,		(Decrease) Excluding Effect of Currency
(In millions)	2011		2010	Increase	Translation
Papermaking Systems Product Lines:					
Stock-Preparation	\$ 32.3	\$	25.0	\$ 7.3	\$ 5.6
Fluid-Handling	24.5		20.1	4.4	2.6
Doctoring	13.7		12.7	1.0	0.3
Water-Management	8.5		8.5	_	(0.4)
Other	 0.6		0.6	_	<u> </u>
	\$ 79.6	\$	66.9	\$ 12.7	\$ 8.1

### Results of Operations (continued)

Revenues in our stock-preparation product line in the second quarter of 2011 increased \$5.6 million, or 23%, excluding a \$1.7 million increase from the favorable effect of currency translation, compared to the second quarter of 2010, primarily due to higher demand for our capital products in China. In our fluid-handling product line, revenues in the second quarter of 2011 increased \$2.6 million, or 13%, excluding a \$1.8 million increase from the favorable effect of currency translation, compared to the prior year quarter primarily due to higher demand for our parts and consumables products in North America and, to a lesser extent, Europe. Revenues from our doctoring product line increased \$0.3 million, or 2%, in the second quarter of 2011, excluding a \$0.7 million increase from the favorable effect of currency translation, compared to the second quarter of 2010, primarily due to an increase in demand for our products in North America.

Revenues in the Papermaking Systems segment increased \$12.7 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010, primarily driven by increases in China and Europe. In China, we saw a significant increase in revenues from large capital orders received in late 2010 and early 2011 as the paper industry adds capacity to meet demand from growth in the region as well as to compensate for government shutdowns of smaller inefficient mills. Our revenues in China increased \$7.2 million, or 85%, in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year quarter. In Europe, we believe our business benefited primarily from increased demand for our parts and consumables products, resulting in an increase in revenues of \$4.9 million, or 24%, in this region in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year quarter.

# Gross Profit Margin

Gross profit margins for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended
	July 2, July 3, 2011 2010
Gross Profit Margin:	
Papermaking Systems	45.3%44.9%
Fiber-based Products	56.6 50.8
	45 7%45.1%

Papermaking Systems Segment. The gross profit margin in the Papermaking Systems segment increased to 45.3% in the second quarter of 2011 from 44.9% in the second quarter of 2010. This increase resulted from higher gross profit margins in all our product lines, except stock-preparation, primarily due to improved efficiencies at certain of our manufacturing facilities and to better pricing in certain of our markets, offset in part by an unfavorable change in product mix. We expect that the gross profit margins in this segment may decrease for the remainder of 2011 as revenues from lower-margin stock-preparation capital sales increase as a percentage of total sales compared to the second quarter of 2011.

Fiber-based Products. The gross profit margin in our Fiber-based Products business increased to 56.6% in the second quarter of 2011 from 50.8% in the second quarter of 2010 primarily due to manufacturing efficiencies from the increase in revenues and the lower cost of natural gas used in the production process. As is normally the case due to the seasonality of this business, we expect that the gross profit margins will be significantly lower in the second half of 2011.

# Operating Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 31% and 32% in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$3.1 million, or 14%, to \$25.8 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$22.7 million in the second quarter of 2010, including a \$1.3 million increase from the unfavorable effect of foreign currency translation. The increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses was largely due to a \$1.9 million increase in selling expenses, much of which in turn was due to higher incentive, travel, and commission expenses resulting from higher revenues in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the prior year period.

Total stock-based compensation expense was \$1.1 million and \$0.8 million in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and is included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of income. As of July 2, 2011, unrecognized compensation cost related to stock-based compensation was approximately \$6.1 million, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years.

### Results of Operations (continued)

Research and development expenses increased \$0.2 million to \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$1.2 million in the second quarter of 2010 and represented 2% of revenues in both periods.

Restructuring Costs and Other Income, Net

There were no restructuring costs or other income in the second quarter of 2011. Restructuring costs and other income netted to \$21 thousand of income in the second quarter of 2010, which included a curtailment gain on a pension liability of \$0.2 million associated with the reduction of 25 full-time positions in France, largely offset by restructuring costs of \$0.2 million associated with prior period restructurings.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$0.3 million in both the second quarters of 2011 and 2010.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes was \$2.9 million and \$1.7 million in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and represented 28% and 25%, respectively, of pre-tax income. The effective tax rate of 28% in the second quarter of 2011 was lower than our statutory rate primarily due to the favorable geographic distribution of worldwide earnings and the expected utilization of foreign tax credits that were fully reserved in prior periods. The effective tax rate of 25% in the second quarter of 2010 was lower than our statutory rate primarily due to the expected release of valuation allowances associated with the expected utilization in 2010 of various deferred tax assets that had been fully reserved for in prior periods and a favorable geographic distribution of earnings. We expect our effective tax rate to be approximately 28% in 2011 due to anticipated profitability in the U.S. and certain foreign tax jurisdictions. This does not include any assumptions related to the potential reversal of our tax valuation allowance.

We have established valuation allowances related to certain domestic and foreign deferred tax assets and tax credits. The valuation allowance as of January 1, 2011 was \$25.9 million, consisting of \$11.4 million in the U.S. and \$14.5 million in foreign jurisdictions. Compliance with Accounting Standards Codification 740 requires us to periodically evaluate the necessity of establishing or adjusting a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets depending on whether it is more likely than not that a related tax benefit will be recognized in future periods. When assessing the need for a valuation allowance in a tax jurisdiction, we evaluate the weight of all available evidence to determine whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. As part of this evaluation, we consider our cumulative three-year history of earnings before income taxes, taxable income in prior carryback years, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, prudent and feasible tax planning strategies, and expected future results of operations. As of July 2, 2011, we were in a three-year cumulative income position in the U.S.; however, due to the uncertainty of profitability in future periods, we have maintained our full valuation allowance in the U.S. Assuming, among other positive and negative factors, that we meet our estimates of 2011 forecasted earnings in the U.S., we may release a portion of our U.S. valuation allowance during 2011. Our full valuation allowance in certain foreign jurisdictions was maintained as of July 2, 2011 as a result of the foreign subsidiaries being in a three-year cumulative loss position and the uncertainty of future profitability. Assuming, among other positive and negative factors, that certain of our foreign subsidiaries meet their estimates of 2011 forecasted earnings, these foreign jurisdictions would be in a three-year cumulative income position and a portion of the foreign valuation allowance may be released during 2011.

Income from Continuing Operations

Income from continuing operations was \$7.4 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$5.3 million in the second quarter of 2010. The increase in the 2011 period was primarily due to an increase in operating income of \$3.2 million, offset in part by an increase in our effective tax rate (see *Revenues*, *Gross Profit Margin*, *Operating Expenses*, and *Provision for Income Taxes* discussed above).

Loss from Discontinued Operation

Loss from the discontinued operation was \$5 thousand in both the second quarters of 2011 and 2010.

## Results of Operations (continued)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The new guidance eliminates the current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of stockholders' equity. Instead, the new rule will require an entity to present net income and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. While the new guidance changes the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under current accounting guidance. This new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We will adopt this new rule in fiscal 2012. While the adoption of this new guidance will change the presentation of comprehensive income, it will not have an impact on our results of operations or financial position.

Fair Value Measurements. In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). ASU No. 2011-04 establishes a number of new requirements for fair value measurements. These include: (1) a prohibition on grouping financial instruments for purposes of determining fair value, except when an entity manages market and credit risks on the basis of the entity's net exposure to the group; (2) an extension of the prohibition against the use of a blockage factor to all fair value measurements (that prohibition currently applies only to financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets); and (3) a requirement that for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements, entities disclose quantitative information about unobservable inputs, a description of the valuation process used and qualitative details about the sensitivity of the measurements. In addition, for items not carried at fair value but for which fair value is disclosed, entities will be required to disclose the level within the fair value hierarchy that applies to the fair value measurement disclosed. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We will adopt this new rule in fiscal 2012. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

# **Results of Operations (continued)**

# First Six Months 2011 Compared With First Six Months 2010

The following table sets forth our unaudited condensed consolidated statement of income expressed as a percentage of total revenues from continuing operations for the first six months of 2011 and 2010. The results of operations for the first six months of 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

	Six Months	Six Months Ended		
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010		
Revenues	100%	100%		
Revenues	100/0	100/0		
Costs and Operating Expenses:				
Cost of revenues	53	55		
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	33	34		
Research and development expenses	2	2		
Restructuring costs and other income, net	<u></u>	_		
	88	91		
Operating Income	12	9		
Interest Income	_	_		
Interest Expense		_		
Income from Continuing Operations Before Provision for Income Taxes	12	9		
Provision for Income Taxes	3	2		
Income from Continuing Operations	<u> </u>	<u>7</u> %		

# Revenues

Revenues for the first six months of 2011 and 2010 from our Papermaking Systems segment and Fiber-based Products business are as follows:

	Six Months	Six Months Ended		
(In thousands)	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010		
Revenues:	244-44-			
Papermaking Systems Fiber-based Products	\$147,155 \$16,982	124,422 5,835		
	\$154,137 \$	130,257		

Papermaking Systems Segment. Revenues increased \$22.7 million, or 18%, to \$147.1 million in the first six months of 2011 from \$124.4 million in the first six months of 2010, including a \$5.5 million increase from the favorable effects of currency translation. Revenues in all our product lines increased, including \$12.8 million, or 30%, from stock-preparation and \$6.9 million, or 17%, from fluid-handling. Revenues for our parts and consumables products in our Papermaking Systems segment increased \$10.7 million, or 14%, as operating rates in the paper industry recovered to more traditional levels. Customers also increased their spending on our capital equipment products, resulting in increased capital revenues of \$12.0 million, or 25%, in the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010.

### Results of Operations (continued)

Fiber-based Products. Revenues increased \$1.2 million, or 20%, to \$7.0 million in the first six months of 2011 from \$5.8 million in the first six months of 2010 primarily due to increased demand for our biodegradable granular products.

Papermaking Systems Segment by Product Line. The following table presents revenues for our Papermaking Systems segment by product line and the changes in revenues by product line between the first six months of 2011 and 2010 including and excluding the effect of currency translation. The change in revenues excluding the effect of currency translation represents the change resulting from the conversion of the current period revenues in local currency into U.S. dollars at prior period exchange rates, and then comparing this result to the prior period revenues in U.S. dollars. The presentation of the changes in revenues by product line excluding the effect of currency translation is a non-GAAP measure. We believe this non-GAAP measure helps investors gain a better understanding of our underlying operations, consistent with how management measures and forecasts our performance, especially when comparing such results to prior periods. This non-GAAP measure should not be considered superior to or a substitute for the corresponding GAAP measure.

	Six M	onth	s Ended		Increase (Decrease) Excluding Effect of
	July 2,		July 3,		Currency
(In millions)	2011		2010	Increase	Translation
Papermaking Systems Product Lines:					
Stock-Preparation	\$ 55.6	\$	42.8	\$ 12.8	\$ 11.0
Fluid-Handling	47.1		40.2	6.9	4.7
Doctoring	27.8		25.2	2.6	1.7
Water-Management	15.3		15.0	0.3	(0.2)
Other	 1.3		1.2	0.1	_
	\$ 147.1	\$	124.4	\$ 22.7	\$ 17.2

Revenues in our stock-preparation product line in the first six months of 2011 increased \$11.0 million, or 26%, excluding a \$1.8 million increase from the favorable effect of currency translation, compared to the first six months of 2010, primarily due to higher demand for our capital equipment in China and, to a lesser extent, our parts and consumables products in North America. In our fluid-handling product line, revenues in the first six months of 2011 increased \$4.7 million, or 12%, excluding a \$2.2 million increase from the favorable effect of currency translation, compared to the prior year period primarily due to higher demand for our parts and consumables products in Europe and, to a lesser extent, North America. Revenues from our doctoring product line increased \$1.7 million, or 7%, in the first six months of 2011, excluding a \$0.9 million increase from the favorable effect of currency translation, compared to the first six months of 2010, primarily due to an increase in demand for our parts and consumables products.

Revenues in the first six months of 2011 increased in all of our geographic regions compared to the first six months of 2010, primarily driven by increases in China, North America, and Europe. In China, we saw a significant increase in revenues from large capital orders due to the continued economic growth in the region. Our revenues in China increased \$10.6 million, or 77%, in the first six months of 2011 compared to the prior year period. In Europe, we believe our business benefited primarily from increased demand for our parts and consumables products, resulting in an increase in revenues of \$5.2 million, or 13%, in this region in the first six months of 2011 compared to the prior year period. In North America, our parts and consumables business benefited from high mill operating rates and increased spending as customers focused on energy-saving and fiber-recovery products. As a result, our revenues increased \$4.8 million, or 7%, in this region in the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010.

### Results of Operations (continued)

Gross Profit Margin

Gross profit margins for the first six months of 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	Six Months Ended
	July 2, July 3 2011 2010
Gross Profit Margin:	
Papermaking Systems	46.3%44.3%
Fiber-based Products	53.2 50.8
	46.6%44.6%

Papermaking Systems Segment. The gross profit margin in the Papermaking Systems segment increased to 46.3% in the first six months of 2011 from 44.3% in the first six months of 2010. This increase resulted from higher gross profit margins in all our product lines primarily due to improved pricing in certain of our markets and improved efficiencies at certain of our manufacturing facilities.

Fiber-based Products. The gross profit margin in our Fiber-based Products business increased to 53.2% in the first six months of 2011 from 50.8% in the first six months of 2010 primarily due to manufacturing efficiencies from the increase in revenues and the lower cost of natural gas used in the production process.

# Operating Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 33% and 34% in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$6.5 million, or 15%, to \$50.3 million in the first six months of 2011 from \$43.8 million in the first six months of 2010, including a \$1.6 million increase from the unfavorable effect of foreign currency translation. The increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses was largely due to a \$3.0 million increase in selling expenses much of which in turn was due to higher incentive, travel and commission expenses resulting from higher revenues in the first six months of 2011 compared to the prior year period.

Total stock-based compensation expense was \$1.9 million and \$1.3 million in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and is included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of income.

Research and development expenses increased \$0.1 million to \$2.7 million in the first six months of 2011 compared to \$2.6 million in the first six months of 2010 and represented 2% of revenues in both periods.

# Restructuring Costs and Other Income, Net

There were no restructuring costs or other income in the first six months of 2011. Restructuring costs and other income netted to \$0.3 million of income in the first six months of 2010, which included a curtailment gain on a pension liability of \$0.2 million associated with the reduction of 25 full-time positions in France, and a gain of \$0.3 million associated with the sale of real estate in the U.S. These gains were offset in part by restructuring costs of \$0.2 million in the first six months of 2010 associated with prior period restructuring plans.

## Interest Income

Interest income increased to \$0.2 million in the first six months of 2011 from \$0.1 million in the first six months of 2010 primarily due to higher average foreign interest rates in the 2011 period.

## Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$0.1 million, or 20%, to \$0.6 million in the first six months of 2011 from \$0.7 million in the first six months of 2010 due to both lower outstanding borrowings and lower average domestic interest rates in the 2011 period compared to the 2010 period.

### Results of Operations (continued)

### Provision for Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes was \$5.2 million and \$2.4 million in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and represented 28% and 21%, respectively, of pre-tax income. The effective tax rate of 28% in the first six months of 2011 was lower than our statutory rate primarily due to the favorable geographic distribution of worldwide earnings and the expected utilization of foreign tax credits that were fully reserved in prior periods. The effective tax rate of 21% in the first six months of 2010 was lower than our statutory rate primarily due to the expected release of valuation allowances associated with the expected utilization in 2010 of various deferred tax assets that had been fully reserved for in prior periods and a favorable geographic distribution of earnings.

### Income from Continuing Operations

Income from continuing operations was \$13.3 million in the first six months of 2011 compared to \$8.9 million in the first six months of 2010. The increase in the 2011 period was primarily due to an increase in operating income of \$6.8 million, offset in part by an increase in our effective tax rate (see *Revenues*, *Gross Profit Margin, Operating Expenses*, and *Provision for Income Taxes* discussed above).

# Loss from Discontinued Operation

Loss from the discontinued operation was \$9 thousand in both the first six months of 2011 and 2010.

# **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Consolidated working capital, including the discontinued operation, was \$82.9 million at July 2, 2011, compared with \$79.0 million at January 1, 2011. Included in working capital are cash and cash equivalents of \$43.9 million and restricted cash of \$2.1 million at July 2, 2011, compared with cash and cash equivalents of \$61.8 million at January 1, 2011. At July 2, 2011, \$37.5 million of cash and cash equivalents were held by our foreign subsidiaries.

### First Six Months of 2011

Our operating activities provided cash of \$7.2 million in the first six months of 2011. An increase in other current liabilities primarily associated with the receipt of customer deposits provided cash of \$5.8 million in the first six months of 2011. An increase in inventories used cash of \$15.3 million in the first six months of 2011, as we are manufacturing large stock-preparation systems scheduled for delivery later in the year or in early 2012. In addition, in the first six months of 2011 an increase in unbilled contract costs and fees due to the timing of billings used cash of \$1.6 million and an increase in accounts receivable associated with increased revenues used cash of \$1.4 million.

Our investing activities used cash of \$19.8 million in the first six months of 2011 primarily for the acquisition of M-Clean, a European-based supplier of paper machine fabric and roll cleaning equipment, for approximately \$14.9 million, net of cash acquired. We also used cash of \$4.0 million to purchase property, plant, and equipment in the first six months of 2011.

Our financing activities used cash of \$7.8 million in the first six months of 2011 primarily for principal payments of \$5.8 million on our debt obligations. In the first six months of 2011, we also designated \$2.2 million of cash as restricted for use as collateral for bank guarantees. All of these bank guarantees are scheduled to expire by September 30, 2012.

# First Six Months of 2010

Our operating activities provided cash of \$8.4 million in the first six months of 2010. Increases in accounts receivable, unbilled contract costs and fees and inventories used cash of \$11.5 million in the first six months of 2010, while an increase in accounts payable provided cash of \$5.7 million. The increase in accounts receivable of \$5.1 million in 2010 was due to increased revenues in the first half of 2010. The increase in inventories of \$4.8 million in the first six months of 2010 was largely due to delays in shipments of capital orders in China. The increase in unbilled contract costs and fees of \$1.6 million in the first six months of 2010 was primarily due to the timing of billings. Partly offsetting these uses of cash was an increase in accounts payable of \$5.7 million in 2010 due to an increase in raw material purchases and the timing of payments.

# Liquidity and Capital Resources (continued)

Our investing activities used cash of \$3.2 million in the first six months of 2010. We used cash of \$2.6 million for final consideration payments associated with the acquisitions of The Johnson Corporation and Jining Huayi Light Industry Machinery Co., Ltd. In addition, we used cash of \$1.3 million to purchase property, plant, and equipment in the first six months of 2010, offset in part by proceeds of \$0.7 million primarily from the sale of real estate.

Our financing activities used cash of \$0.2 million in the first six months of 2010 primarily for principal payments on outstanding debt obligations.

# Revolving Credit Facility

On February 13, 2008, we entered into a five-year unsecured revolving credit facility (2008 Credit Agreement) in the aggregate principal amount of up to \$75 million. The 2008 Credit Agreement also includes an uncommitted unsecured incremental borrowing facility of up to an additional \$75 million. We can borrow up to \$75 million under the 2008 Credit Agreement with a sublimit of \$60 million within the 2008 Credit Agreement available for the issuance of letters of credit and bank guarantees. The principal on any borrowings made under the 2008 Credit Agreement is due on February 13, 2013. As of July 2, 2011, the outstanding balance borrowed under the 2008 Credit Agreement was \$10.0 million. The amount we are able to borrow under the 2008 Credit Agreement is the total borrowing capacity less any outstanding borrowings, letters of credit and multi-currency borrowings issued under the 2008 Credit Agreement. As of July 2, 2011, we had \$64.0 million of borrowing capacity available under the committed portion of the 2008 Credit Agreement.

Our obligations under the 2008 Credit Agreement may be accelerated upon the occurrence of an event of default under the 2008 Credit Agreement, which includes customary events of default including, without limitation, payment defaults, defaults in the performance of affirmative and negative covenants, the inaccuracy of representations or warranties, bankruptcy- and insolvency- related defaults, defaults relating to such matters as the Employment Retirement Income Security Act, uninsured judgments and the failure to pay certain indebtedness, and a change of control default.

The 2008 Credit Agreement contains negative covenants applicable to us and our subsidiaries, including financial covenants requiring us to comply with a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 3.5 and a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.2, and restrictions on liens, indebtedness, fundamental changes, dispositions of property, making certain restricted payments (including dividends and stock repurchases), investments, transactions with affiliates, sale and leaseback transactions, swap agreements, changing our fiscal year, arrangements affecting subsidiary distributions, entering into new lines of business, and certain actions related to the discontinued operation. As of July 2, 2011, we were in compliance with these covenants.

# Commercial Real Estate Loan

On May 4, 2006, we borrowed \$10 million under a promissory note (2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan). The 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan is repayable in quarterly installments of \$125 thousand over a ten-year period with the remaining principal balance of \$5 million due upon maturity. As of July 2, 2011, the remaining balance on the 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan was \$7.5 million.

Our obligations under the 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan may be accelerated upon the occurrence of an event of default under the 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan and the mortgage and security agreements, which includes customary events of default including, without limitation, payment defaults, defaults in the performance of covenants and obligations, the inaccuracy of representations or warranties, bankruptcy- and insolvency-related defaults, liens on the properties or collateral and uninsured judgments. In addition, the occurrence of an event of default under the 2008 Credit Agreement or any successor credit facility would be an event of default under the 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan.

# Liquidity and Capital Resources (continued)

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

To hedge the exposure to movements in the three-month LIBOR rate on outstanding debt, on February 13, 2008, we entered into a swap agreement (2008 Swap Agreement). The 2008 Swap Agreement has a five-year term and a \$15 million notional value, which decreased to \$10 million on December 31, 2010 and will decrease to \$5 million on December 30, 2011. Under the 2008 Swap Agreement, on a quarterly basis we receive a three-month LIBOR rate and pay a fixed rate of interest of 3.265%. We also entered into a swap agreement in 2006 (2006 Swap Agreement) to convert the 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan from a floating to a fixed rate of interest. The 2006 Swap Agreement has the same terms and quarterly payment dates as the corresponding debt, and reduces proportionately in line with the amortization of the 2006 Commercial Real Estate Loan. Under the 2006 Swap Agreement, we receive a three-month LIBOR rate and pay a fixed rate of interest of 5.63%. As of July 2, 2011, all of our outstanding debt was hedged through interest rate swap agreements, which had an unrealized loss of \$1.5 million. Our management believes that any credit risk associated with the 2006 and 2008 Swap Agreements is remote based on our financial position and the creditworthiness of the financial institution issuing the swap agreements.

The counterparty to the swap agreement could demand an early termination of the swap agreement if we are in default under the 2008 Credit Agreement, or any agreement that amends or replaces the 2008 Credit Agreement in which the counterparty is a member, and we are unable to cure the default. An event of default under the 2008 Credit Agreement includes customary events of default and failure to comply with financial covenants, including a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 3.5 and a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.2. The unrealized loss of \$1.5 million as of July 2, 2011 represents the estimated amount that we would pay to the counterparty in the event of an early termination.

Additional Liquidity and Capital Resources

On October 27, 2010, our board of directors approved the repurchase by us of up to \$20 million of our equity securities during the period from November 5, 2010 through November 5, 2011. Through the end of the second quarter of 2011, we have not made any repurchases under this authorization.

It is our intention to reinvest indefinitely the earnings of our international subsidiaries in order to support the current and future capital needs of their operations. Through July 2, 2011, we have not provided for U.S. income taxes on approximately \$96.1 million of unremitted foreign earnings. The U.S. tax cost has not been determined due to the fact that it is not practicable to estimate at this time. The related foreign tax withholding, which would be required if we were to remit the foreign earnings to the U.S., would be approximately \$0.6 million.

In 2005, Composites LLC sold its composites business, which is presented as a discontinued operation in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. Under the terms of the asset purchase agreement, Composites LLC retained certain liabilities associated with the operation of the business prior to the sale, including warranty obligations related to products manufactured prior to the sale date. At July 2, 2011, the accrued warranty costs for Composites LLC were \$2.1 million, which represents the low end of the estimated range of warranty reserve required based on the level of claims received before the subsidiary ceased operations and judgments entered against it in litigation. Composites LLC will continue to record adjustments to accrued warranty costs to reflect the minimum amount of the potential range of loss for products under warranty based on judgments entered against it in litigation, if any.

Although we currently have no material commitments for capital expenditures, we plan to make expenditures of approximately \$4 to \$5 million during the remainder of 2011 for property, plant, and equipment. In addition, we expect to make cash contributions of \$0.5 million to our Kadant Solutions division's noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan during the remainder of 2011.

In the future, our liquidity position will be primarily affected by the level of cash flows from operations, cash paid to satisfy debt repayments, capital projects, stock repurchases, or additional acquisitions, if any. We believe that our existing resources, together with the cash available from our credit facilities and the cash we expect to generate from continuing operations, will be sufficient to meet the capital requirements of our current operations for the foreseeable future.

# Item 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk from changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates has not changed materially from our exposure at year-end 2010 as disclosed in Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2011, filed with the SEC.

#### Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of July 2, 2011. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of July 2, 2011, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of July 2, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

# (b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) during the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

# <u>Item 1 – Legal Proceedings</u>

Not applicable.

# Item 1A - Risk Factors

In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we wish to caution readers that the following important factors, among others, in some cases have affected, and in the future could affect, our actual results and could cause our actual results in 2011 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us, or on our behalf.

Our business is dependent on worldwide and local economic conditions as well as the condition of the pulp and paper industry.

We sell products worldwide primarily to the pulp and paper industry, which is a cyclical industry. Generally, the financial condition of the global pulp and paper industry corresponds to general worldwide economic conditions, as well as to a number of other factors, including pulp and paper production capacity relative to demand in the geographic markets in which we compete. Although global markets appeared to be recovering in recent periods from the extreme disruptions which began in 2008, uncertainty about continuing economic stability and the potential for another recession has become heightened in the second half of 2011. Our business and performance was significantly affected by the global economic crisis and would be negatively affected by a return of economic uncertainty, either globally or regionally. Uncertainty about global and regional economic conditions negatively affected, and may in the future negatively affect, demand for our customers' products and for our products, especially our capital equipment products. Also, uncertainty regarding economic conditions has caused, and may in the future cause, liquidity and credit issues for many businesses, including our customers in the pulp and paper industry as well as other industries, and may result in their inability to fund projects, capacity expansion plans, and to some extent, routine operations. These conditions have resulted, and may in the future result, in a number of structural changes in the pulp and paper industry, including decreased

spending, mill closures, consolidations, and bankruptcies, all of which negatively affect our business, revenue, and profitability. Any renewed financial and economic turmoil affecting the worldwide economy or the banking system and financial markets, in particular, due to political or economic developments could cause the expectations for our business to differ materially in the future.

Our financial performance will be negatively impacted if there are delays in customers securing financing or our customers become unable to secure such financing. The inability of our customers to obtain credit may affect our ability to recognize revenue and income, particularly on large capital equipment orders from new customers for which we may require letters of credit. We may also be unable to issue letters of credit to our customers, which are required in some cases to guarantee performance, during periods of economic uncertainty.

Paper producers have been, and may in the future be, negatively affected by higher operating costs. Paper companies curtail their capital and operating spending during periods of economic uncertainty and are cautious about resuming spending as market conditions improve. As paper companies consolidate operations in response to market weakness, they frequently reduce capacity, increase downtime, defer maintenance and upgrades, and postpone or even cancel capacity addition or expansion projects. It is difficult to accurately forecast our revenues and earnings per share during periods of economic uncertainty.

A significant portion of our international sales has, and may in the future, come from China and we operate several manufacturing facilities in China, which exposes us to political, economic, operational and other risks.

We have historically had significant revenues from China, operate significant manufacturing facilities in China, and expect to manufacture and source more of our equipment and components from China in the future. As a result, we are exposed to increased risk in the event of economic slowdowns, changes in the policies of the Chinese government, political unrest, unstable economic conditions, or other developments in China or in U.S.-China relations that are adverse to trade, including enactment of protectionist legislation or trade or currency restrictions. Policies of the Chinese government to target slower economic growth to avoid inflation, such as those announced in early 2010, may negatively affect our business in China if customers are unable to expand capacity or obtain financing for expansion or improvement projects.

Our bookings activity from China tends to be more variable than in other geographic regions, as the China pulp and paper industry historically has experienced, and in the future may experience, periods of significant capacity expansion to meet demand followed by a period of stagnant activity while overcapacity is absorbed. These cycles result in periods of significant bookings activity for our capital products and increased revenues followed by a significant decrease in bookings or potential delays in shipments and order placements by our customers as they attempt to balance supply and demand. As a consequence, our bookings and revenues in China tend to be uneven and difficult to predict. Paper companies in China are scheduled to bring online significant capacity additions in the second half of 2011, which could lead to short-term overcapacity in some grades and potentially impact the timing of our orders from paper companies as the additional capacity is absorbed. This could negatively affect our bookings and revenue activity in China. In addition, orders from customers in China, particularly for large stock-preparation systems that have been tailored to a customer's specific requirements, have credit risks higher than we generally incur elsewhere, and some orders are subject to the receipt of financing approvals from the Chinese government. For this reason, we do not record signed contracts from customers in China for large stock-preparation systems as orders until we receive the down payments for such contracts. The timing of the receipt of these orders and the down payments are uncertain and there is no assurance that we will be able to recognize revenue on these contracts. Delays in the receipt of payments and letters of credit affect when revenues can be recognized on these contracts, making it difficult to accurately forecast our future financial performance. We may experience a loss if a contract is cancelled prior to the receipt of a down payment in the event we commence engineering or other work associated with the contract. In addition, we may experience a loss if the contract is cancelled, or the customer does not fulfill its obligations under the contract, prior to the receipt of a letter of credit or final payments covering the remaining balance of the contract. In those instances in which a letter of credit is required, it may represent 80% or more of the total order.

We may be unable to expand capacity sufficiently in China to meet current demand.

We experienced a large increase in demand for our stock-preparation products in China beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010. We have implemented a program to meet this demand, which includes expanding our manufacturing capacity in China, hiring additional workers, and accelerating investment in capital equipment. In some cases, we may shift production to our other manufacturing plants outside of China. While we have made significant progress toward implementing our plans and continue to believe that we will meet all of our customer commitments, there can be no assurance that we will be successful, which could expose us to contractual penalties. In addition, a shift to higher-cost production facilities may reduce our gross profit margins on these products. Our business and reputation could be damaged by our inability to perform and our financial performance could suffer as a consequence.

Commodity or component price increases and significant shortages of commodities and component products may adversely impact our financial results or our ability to meet commitments to customers.

We use steel, stainless steel, brass, bronze, and other commodities to manufacture our products. We also use natural gas in the production of our fiber-based granular products. As a result, unanticipated increases in the prices of such commodities could increase our costs more than expected, negatively impacting our business, results of operations and financial condition if we are unable to fully offset the effect of these increased costs through price increases, productivity improvements, or cost reduction programs.

We rely on suppliers to secure commodity and component products required for the manufacture of our products. A disruption in deliveries to or from suppliers or decreased availability of such components or commodities could have an adverse effect on our ability to meet our commitments to customers or increase our operating costs. We believe our sources of raw materials and component products will generally be sufficient for our needs in the foreseeable future. However, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be negatively impacted if supply is insufficient for our operations.

We are dependent on two paper mills for the fiber used in the manufacture of our fiber-based granular products. From time to time we have experienced, and may in the future experience, some difficulty obtaining sufficient raw material to operate at optimal production levels. We continue to work with the mills to ensure a stable supply of raw material. To date, we have been able to meet all of our customer delivery requirements, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to meet future delivery requirements. Although we believe our relationships with the mills are good, the mills could decide not to continue to supply sufficient papermaking byproducts, or may not agree to continue to supply such products on commercially reasonable terms. If the mills were unable or unwilling to supply us sufficient fiber, we would be forced to find one or more alternative supply of this raw material. We may be unable to find alternative supplies on commercially reasonable terms or could incur excessive transportation costs if an alternative supplier were found, which would increase our manufacturing costs, and might prevent prices for our products from being competitive or require closure of this business.

Our business is subject to economic, currency, political, and other risks associated with international sales and operations.

During the first six months of 2011 and 2010, approximately 60% and 56%, respectively, of our sales were to customers outside the United States, principally in Europe and China. In addition, we operate several manufacturing operations worldwide, including those in China, Europe, Mexico, and Brazil. International revenues and operations are subject to a number of risks, including the following:

- agreements may be difficult to enforce and receivables difficult to collect through a foreign country's legal system,
- foreign customers may have longer payment cycles,
- foreign countries may impose additional withholding taxes or otherwise tax our foreign income, impose tariffs, adopt other restrictions on foreign trade, impose currency restrictions or enact other protectionist or anti-trade measures,
- worsening economic conditions may result in worker unrest, labor actions, and potential work stoppages,
- political unrest, such as that currently occurring in North Africa and the Middle East, may disrupt commercial activities of ours or our customers,
- it may be difficult to repatriate funds, due to unfavorable domestic and foreign tax consequences or other restrictions or limitations imposed by foreign governments, and
- the protection of intellectual property in foreign countries may be more difficult to enforce.

Although we seek to charge our customers in the same currency in which our operating costs are incurred, fluctuations in currency exchange rates may affect product demand and adversely affect the profitability in U.S. dollars of products we provide in international markets. In addition, our inability to repatriate funds could adversely affect our ability to service our debt obligations. Any of these factors could have a material adverse impact on our business and results of operations. Furthermore, while some risks can be hedged using derivatives or other financial instruments, or may be insurable, such attempts to mitigate these risks may be costly and not always successful.

We are subject to intense competition in all our markets.

We believe that the principal competitive factors affecting the markets for our products include quality, price, service, technical expertise, and product performance and innovation. Our competitors include a number of large multinational corporations that may have substantially greater financial, marketing, and other resources than we do. As a result, they may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of their services and products. Competitors' technologies may prove to be superior to ours. Our current products, those under development, and our ability to develop new technologies may not be sufficient to enable us to compete effectively. Competition, especially in China, has increased as new companies enter the market and existing competitors expand their product lines and manufacturing operations.

Adverse changes to the soundness of our suppliers and customers could affect our business and results of operations.

All of our businesses are exposed to risk associated with the creditworthiness of our key suppliers and customers, including pulp and paper manufacturers and other industrial customers, many of which may be adversely affected by the volatile conditions in the financial markets, worldwide economic downturns, and difficult economic conditions. These conditions could result in financial instability, bankruptcy, or other adverse effects at any of our suppliers or customers. The consequences of such adverse effects could include the interruption of production at the facilities of our suppliers, the reduction, delay or cancellation of customer orders, delays in or the inability of customers to obtain financing to purchase our products, and bankruptcy of customers or other creditors. Any adverse changes to the soundness of our suppliers or customers may adversely affect our cash flow, profitability and financial condition.

Changes in our effective tax rate may impact our results of operations.

We derive a significant portion of our revenue and earnings from our international operations, and are subject to income and other taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. A number of factors may increase our effective tax rate, including: increases in tax rates in various jurisdictions; unanticipated decreases in the amount of profit in jurisdictions with low statutory tax rates; the resolution of issues arising from tax audits with various tax authorities; changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities; adjustments to income taxes upon finalization of various tax returns; increases in expenses not deductible for tax purposes, including impairments of goodwill in connection with acquisitions; changes in available tax credits or our ability to utilize foreign tax credits; and changes in tax laws or the interpretation of such tax laws. Any significant increase in our future effective tax rates would adversely impact our net income for future periods.

We may be required to reorganize our operations in response to changing conditions in the worldwide economy and the pulp and paper industry, and such actions may require significant expenditures and may not be successful.

We have undertaken various restructuring measures in response to changing market conditions in the countries in which we operate and in the pulp and paper industry in general, which have affected our business. We may engage in additional cost reduction programs in the future. We may not recoup the costs of programs we have already initiated, or other programs in which we may decide to engage in the future, the costs of which may be significant. In connection with any future plant closures, delays or failures in the transition of production from existing facilities to our other facilities in other geographic regions could also adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, it is difficult to accurately forecast our financial performance in periods of economic uncertainty in a region or globally, and the efforts we have made or may make to align our cost structure may not be sufficient or able to keep pace with rapidly changing business conditions. Our profitability may decline if our restructuring efforts do not sufficiently reduce our future costs and position us to maintain or increase our sales.

Adverse changes to the soundness of financial institutions could affect us.

We have relationships with many financial institutions, including lenders under our credit facilities and insurance underwriters, and from time to time, we execute transactions with counterparties in the financial industry, such as our interest rate swap arrangements and other hedging transactions. As a consequence of volatility in the financial markets, these financial institutions or counterparties could be adversely affected and we may not be able to access credit facilities in the future, complete transactions as intended, or otherwise obtain the benefit of the arrangements we have entered into with such financial parties, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our debt may adversely affect our cash flow and may restrict our investment opportunities.

In 2008, we entered into a five-year unsecured revolving credit facility (2008 Credit Agreement) in the aggregate principal amount of up to \$75 million. The 2008 Credit Agreement also includes an uncommitted unsecured incremental borrowing facility of up to an additional \$75 million. We had \$10 million outstanding under the 2008 Credit Agreement as of July 2, 2011 and we have also borrowed additional amounts under other agreements to fund our operations. We may also obtain additional long-term debt and working capital lines of credit to meet future financing needs, which would have the effect of increasing our total leverage. Our indebtedness could have negative consequences, including:

- increasing our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions,
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing,
- limiting our ability to pay dividends on or to repurchase our capital stock,
- limiting our ability to complete a merger or an acquisition,
- limiting our ability to acquire new products and technologies through acquisitions or licensing agreements, and
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industries in which we compete.

Our existing indebtedness bears interest at floating rates and as a result, our interest payment obligations on our indebtedness will increase if interest rates increase. As of July 2, 2011, all of our outstanding floating rate debt was hedged through interest rate swap agreements. The unrealized loss associated with these swap agreements was \$1.5 million as of July 2, 2011. This unrealized loss represents the estimated amount for which the swap agreements could be settled. The counterparty to the swap agreements could demand an early termination of the swap agreements if we are in default under the 2008 Credit Agreement, or any agreement that amends or replaces the 2008 Credit Agreement in which the counterparty is a member, and we are unable to cure the default. If these swap agreements were terminated prior to the scheduled maturity date and if we were required to pay cash for the value of the swap, we would incur a loss, which would adversely affect our financial results.

Our ability to satisfy our obligations and to reduce our total debt depends on our future operating performance and on economic, financial, competitive, and other factors beyond our control. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flows to meet these obligations or to successfully execute our business strategy. The 2008 Credit Agreement includes certain financial covenants, and our failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default under the 2008 Credit Agreement, the swap agreements, and our other credit facilities, and would have significant negative consequences for our current operations and our future ability to fund our operations and grow our business. If we are unable to service our debt and fund our business, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures or research and development expenditures, seek additional financing or equity capital, restructure or refinance our debt, or sell assets.

Restrictions in our 2008 Credit Agreement may limit our activities.

Our 2008 Credit Agreement contains, and future debt instruments to which we may become subject may contain, restrictive covenants that limit our ability to engage in activities that could otherwise benefit us, including restrictions on our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness.
- pay dividends on, redeem, or repurchase our capital stock,
- make investments.
- create liens.
- sell assets,
- enter into transactions with affiliates, and
- consolidate, merge, or transfer all or substantially all of our assets and the assets of our subsidiaries.

We are also required to meet specified financial covenants under the terms of our 2008 Credit Agreement. Our ability to comply with these financial restrictions and covenants is dependent on our future performance, which is subject to prevailing economic conditions and other factors, including factors that are beyond our control such as currency exchange rates, interest rates, changes in technology, and changes in the level of competition. Our failure to comply with any of these restrictions or covenants may result in an event of default under our 2008 Credit Agreement and other loan obligations, which could permit acceleration of the debt under those instruments and require us to repay the debt before its scheduled due date. If an event of default were to occur, we might not have sufficient funds available to make the payments required under our indebtedness. If we are unable to repay amounts owed under our debt agreements, those lenders may be entitled to foreclose on and sell the collateral that secures our borrowings under the agreements.

The inability of Composites LLC to pay claims against it has exposed us to litigation, which if we are unable to successfully defend, could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial results.

On October 21, 2005, our Composites LLC subsidiary sold substantially all of its assets to a third party and retained certain liabilities associated with the operation of the business prior to the sale, including warranty obligations related to products manufactured prior to the sale date (Retained Liabilities). Composites LLC retained all of the cash proceeds received from the asset sale in 2005 and continued to administer and pay warranty claims from the sale proceeds into the third quarter of 2007, when it announced that it no longer had sufficient funds to honor warranty claims, was unable to pay or process warranty claims, and ceased doing business.

Composites LLC, jointly and severally with its parent company Kadant Inc., agreed to indemnify the original buyer and a subsequent purchaser of the business against losses arising from claims associated with the Retained Liabilities. This indemnification obligation is contractually limited to approximately \$8.4 million. All activity related to this business is classified in the results of the discontinued operation in our consolidated financial statements.

We were previously named as co-defendants, together with Composites LLC and two other defendants, in several state class action complaints and one federal class action complaint filed in 2008 and 2009, as disclosed in our prior SEC filings. These complaints sought to recover damages allegedly associated with the composite building products manufactured by Composites LLC between April 2002 and October 2003. This litigation has been dismissed, in the case of the federal class action, and voluntarily withdrawn without prejudice by the state plaintiffs. We continue to discuss with these plaintiffs potential alternative dispute resolution or other settlement of these matters. There can be no assurance that the parties will reach a resolution on terms satisfactory to the parties, or that these or other plaintiffs will not file new complaints against us or the other parties indemnified by Composites LLC. While we believe any such asserted or possible claims against us or other indemnified parties would be without merit, the cost of litigation and the outcome, including any settlement, could adversely affect our consolidated financial results.

An increase in the accrual for warranty costs of the discontinued operation adversely affects our consolidated financial results.

The discontinued operation has experienced significant liabilities associated with warranty claims related to its composite decking products manufactured prior to the sale of the business in 2005. The accrued warranty costs of the discontinued operation as of July 2, 2011 represents the low end of the estimated range of warranty costs based on the level of claims received before Composites LLC ceased operations and judgments entered against it in litigation. Composites LLC has calculated that the total potential warranty cost ranges from \$2.1 million to approximately \$13.1 million. The high end of the range represents the estimated maximum level of warranty claims remaining based on the total sales of the products under warranty. On September 30, 2007, the discontinued operation ceased doing business and has no employees or other service providers to collect or process warranty claims. Composites LLC will continue to record adjustments to accrued warranty costs to reflect the minimum amount of the potential range of loss for products under warranty based on any judgments entered against it in litigation, which will adversely affect our consolidated results.

Our inability to successfully identify and complete acquisitions or successfully integrate any new or previous acquisitions could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our strategy includes the acquisition of technologies and businesses that complement or augment our existing products and services. Any such acquisition involves numerous risks that may adversely affect our future financial performance and cash flows. These risks include:

- competition with other prospective buyers resulting in our inability to complete an acquisition or in us paying substantial premiums over the fair value of the net assets of the acquired business,
- inability to obtain regulatory approval, including antitrust approvals,
- difficulty in assimilating operations, technologies, products and the key employees of the acquired business,
- inability to maintain existing customers or to sell the products and services of the acquired business to our existing customers,
- diversion of management's attention away from other business concerns,
- inability to improve the revenues and profitability or realize the cost savings and synergies expected in the acquisition,
- assumption of significant liabilities, some of which may be unknown at the time,
- potential future impairment of the value of goodwill and intangible assets acquired, and
- identification of internal control deficiencies of the acquired business.

In 2008, we recorded a \$40.3 million impairment charge to write down the goodwill associated with the stock-preparation reporting unit within our Papermaking Systems segment. We may incur additional impairment charges to write down the value of our goodwill and acquired intangible assets in the future if the assets are not deemed recoverable, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Our inability to protect our intellectual property could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, third parties may claim that we infringe their intellectual property, and we could suffer significant litigation or licensing expense as a result.

We seek patent and trade secret protection for significant new technologies, products, and processes because of the length of time and expense associated with bringing new products through the development process and into the marketplace. We own numerous U.S. and foreign patents, and we intend to file additional applications, as appropriate, for patents covering our products. Patents may not be issued for any pending or future patent applications owned by or licensed to us, and the claims allowed under any issued patents may not be sufficiently broad to protect our technology. Any issued patents owned by or licensed to us may be challenged, invalidated, or circumvented, and the rights under these patents may not provide us with competitive advantages. In addition, competitors may design around our technology or develop competing technologies. Intellectual property rights may also

be unavailable or limited in some foreign countries, which could make it easier for competitors to capture increased market share. We could incur substantial costs to defend ourselves in suits brought against us, including for alleged infringement of third party rights, or in suits in which we may assert our intellectual property rights against others. An unfavorable outcome of any such litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. In addition, as our patents expire, we rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how to protect our products. We cannot be sure the steps we have taken or will take in the future will be adequate to deter misappropriation of our proprietary information and intellectual property. Of particular concern are developing countries, such as China, where the laws, courts, and administrative agencies may not protect our intellectual property rights as fully as in the United States or Europe.

We seek to protect trade secrets and proprietary know-how, in part, through confidentiality agreements with our collaborators, employees, and consultants. These agreements may be breached, we may not have adequate remedies for any breach, and our trade secrets may otherwise become known or be independently developed by our competitors, or our competitors may otherwise gain access to our intellectual property.

## Our share price will fluctuate.

Stock markets in general and our common stock in particular experienced significant price and volume volatility during the 2008 to 2009 recession, have recently experienced significant volatility, and may experience significant price and volume volatility from time to time in the future. The market price and trading volume of our common stock may continue to be subject to significant fluctuations due not only to general stock market conditions but also to a change in sentiment in the market regarding our operations, business prospects, or future funding. Given the nature of the markets in which we participate and the impact of accounting standards related to revenue recognition, we may not be able to reliably predict future revenues and profitability, and unexpected changes may cause us to adjust our operations. A large proportion of our costs are fixed, due in part to our significant selling, research and development, and manufacturing costs. Thus, small declines in revenues could disproportionately affect our operating results. Other factors that could affect our share price and quarterly operating results include:

- failure of our products to pass contractually agreed upon acceptance tests, which would delay or prohibit recognition of revenues under applicable accounting guidelines,
- changes in the assumptions used for revenue recognized under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting,
- fluctuations in revenues due to customer-initiated delays in product shipments,
- failure of a customer, particularly in Asia, to comply with an order's contractual obligations or inability of a customer to provide financial assurances of performance,
- adverse changes in demand for and market acceptance of our products,
- competitive pressures resulting in lower sales prices for our products,
- adverse changes in the pulp and paper industry,
- delays or problems in our introduction of new products,
- delays or problems in the manufacture of our products,
- our competitors' announcements of new products, services, or technological innovations,
- contractual liabilities incurred by us related to guarantees of our product performance,
- increased costs of raw materials or supplies, including the cost of energy,
- changes in the timing of product orders,
- impact of new acquisition accounting, including the treatment of acquisition and restructuring costs as period costs,
- fluctuations in our effective tax rate,
- the operating and share price performance of companies that investors consider to be comparable to us, and
- changes in global financial markets and global economies and general market conditions.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law could prevent or delay transactions that our shareholders may favor.

Provisions of our charter and bylaws may discourage, delay, or prevent a merger or acquisition that our shareholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which shareholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares. For example, these provisions:

- authorize the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock without any need for action by shareholders,
- provide for a classified board of directors with staggered three-year terms,
- require supermajority shareholder voting to effect various amendments to our charter and bylaws,
- eliminate the ability of our shareholders to call special meetings of shareholders,
- prohitit shareholder action by written consent, and
- establish advance notice requirements for moninations for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by shareholders or shareholder meetings.

Prior to July 2011, the Company had a shareholder rights plan, which may have had anti-takeover effects under certain circumstances. This shareholder rights plan expired by its terms in July 2011 and was not renewed by our board of directors. However, our board of directors could adopt a new shareholder rights plan in the future that could have anti-takeover effects and might discourage, delay, or prevent a merger or acquisition that our board of directors does not believe is in our best interests and those of our shareholders, including transactions in which shareholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares.

# <u>Item 6 – Exhibits</u>

See Exhibit Index on the page immediately preceding exhibits.

# SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized as of the 10th day of August, 2011.

KADANT INC.

/s/ Thomas M. O'Brien
Thomas M. O'Brien Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.1	Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 2011 [File No. 1-11406] filed with the Commission on May 11, 2011 and incorporated in this document by reference.*
31.1	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer of the Registrant Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer of the Registrant Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.**
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.**
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document.**
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document.**
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document.**

<sup>\*</sup> Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income for the three months and six months ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at July 2, 2011 and January 1, 2011, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Submitted electronically herewith.

## **CERTIFICATION**

### I, Jonathan W. Painter, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 2, 2011 of Kadant Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 10, 2011 /s/ Jonathan W. Painter
Jonathan W. Painter

Jonathan W. Painter Chief Executive Officer

## **CERTIFICATION**

### I, Thomas M. O'Brien, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 2, 2011 of Kadant Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 10, 2011

/s/ Thomas M. O'Brien
Thomas M. O'Brien
Chief Financial Officer

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, the undersigned, Jonathan W. Painter, Chief Executive Officer, and Thomas M. O'Brien, Chief Financial Officer, of Kadant Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), do hereby certify, to our best knowledge and belief, that:

The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 2, 2011 of the Company fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the information contained in this Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 10, 2011 /s/ Jonathan W. Painter

Jonathan W. Painter Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Thomas M. O'Brien

Thomas M. O'Brien Chief Financial Officer

This certification accompanies this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by such Act, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference.